

# Identifying sustainable dust control for low-volume roads: Phase Three field tests of the USGS/USFWS collaboration

Bethany K. Williams and Edward E. Little

USGS-Columbia Environmental Research Center, Columbia MO, bkwilliams@usgs.gov, elittle@usgs.gov

### Introduction

- More than 1.5 million miles of roads in the U.S. are unpaved.
- Fugitive dust from unpaved roads creates human health concerns in the form of inhalable particulate matter, decreases visibility and driver safety, and compromises road surface integrity through the loss of fine particles.
- Few studies have investigated potential environmental impacts of dust suppressant application.
- Our previous work (Phases One and Two) identified several products with a low risk of environmental harm when used under recommended conditions.

# **Overall objective**

To provide scientifically defensible information on environmental impacts of dust suppressant products, with the goal of identifying products for use in sensitive habitats such as wildlife refuges

# Phase Three objective

To evaluate product performance and verify environmental safety of selected products under real-world conditions

# Study site and test layout



Figure 1. Layout of experimental treatment sections on Wildlife Drive and Bennett Lane.



Hagerman National

Wildlife Refuge, Texas

~12,000 acres (upland,

cropland)

wildlife

wetland, open water and

Migratory birds and other

150 active oil and gas wells

Moderate-to-severe issues

Roads receive heavy

equipment traffic in

addition to 175,000

visitors/year

with dust

Project design

### Phase One

#### Aquatic toxicity screening

 Screen products across multiple categories and vendors for aquatic toxicity using standardized tests with a representative vertebrate, the rainbow trout

# **Methods**

#### **Central goal**

to normal Refuge activities **Product choice** 

compatibility with conditions at Hagerman biologists

#### **Initial applications**

- **Durablend**—Enhanced magnesium chloride from EnviroTech Services, CO, USA **Dust Stop**—Cellulose-based powder from Cypher Environmental, MB, Canada EnviroKleen—Synthetic fluid from Midwest Industrial Supply, OH, USA

instructions

- Durablend and Dust Stop—one application
- EnviroKleen—initial application and maintenance dose after ~2 months



#### **Initial observations**

Applications observed for overspray or runoff Aggregate samples taken in each section for analysis by CFLHD Lab Vegetation transects established adjacent to each section

#### Performance and biological monitoring (2, 4, 8, 16 and 52 weeks postapplication)

Replicated dust measurements made with mobile-mounted DustTrak DRX Road surfaces assessed and documented Vegetation sampling Roadside aquatic habitat monitoring

U.S. Department of the Interior U.S. Geological Survey

# Phase Two

#### Expanded species tests

• Select several products of low toxicity for expanded tests with additional invertebrate, vertebrate, and plant species

### **Phase Three**

- Experimental field tests
- Confirm environmental safety of selected products through field applications with subsequent monitoring of roadside plant and animal communities

#### Perform realistic applications and measure relevant endpoints with minimal disruption

- Products showing low toxicity to aquatic organisms in previous tests were evaluated for
- Test products were chosen collaboratively by Refuge staff, product vendors, and USGS

#### Three products applied to replicated sections of Wildlife Drive and Bennett Lane (Fig. 1)

Road sections were prepared and products were applied according to vendor



# **Preliminary results**

#### Product performance

- All three products resulted in smoother, harder surfaces relative to untreated sections on Wildlife Drive (Fig. 2)
- All three products reduced dust levels relative to the untreated sections on Wildlife Drive through the first three monitoring periods when exposed to normal Refuge traffic. The dust suppressant effect of Durablend and EnviroKleen continued through the fourth monitoring period (4 months post-application; **Fig. 3**). Overall, dust levels in all sections varied with weather conditions, and variability among replicates increased over time.







Figure 2. Representative photos of treated road surfaces.



deviation (n=3 trips/section).





# **Preliminary results continued**

#### **Biological monitoring**

• Vegetation transects adjacent to treated sections were not compatible with normal Refuge management activities (Fig.4)





-plowed for wheat crop ∽mowed

Figure 4. Representative vegetation transect showing planned sampling locations (yellow arrows) at the time of product applications (left) and a later monitoring visit (right).

Roadside aquatic habitats were highly variable both spatially and temporally

# **Preliminary Conclusions**

- All three low-toxicity products chosen for field tests improved the road surface and generally suppressed dust thus far on Wildlife Drive, relative to the untreated section
- The mobile-mounted DustTrak DRX aerosol monitor provided practical, replicated measurements of particulate matter mass and size fraction of road dust for comparisons among sections
- Dynamic biological monitoring plans were required for compatibility with Refuge activities and site-specific conditions

# Ongoing work

- Final monitoring visit planned July 2013 (52 weeks post-application)
- Aggregate sample analysis (fines content, etc.)
- Analysis of particulate matter including size fraction
- Semi-field tests
  - Treated aggregate samples in leaching tests
  - Experimental dusting tests
- Compilation of Refuge staff observations
- Final comparisons and report, including analysis of product performance, longevity, cost, application procedure, and effect on biological endpoints

# Acknowledgments

Special thanks to J. Noel, G. Hall, K. Whaley, G. Linder, and H. Puglis for field assistance, to EnviroTech Services, Cypher Environmental, and Midwest Industrial Supply for project cooperation, to R. Surdahl, D. Jones, and P. Bolander for technical guidance, and S. Suder and S. Furniss for general project support. This project is a collaboration with the US Fish and Wildlife Service, funded through the Federal Lands Highway Refuge Roads Program.